

1.1.

Will the technology proposed for the project's main activities solve the problem?
Are they appropriate to the context, and can they be used by the target population?

1.2.

Are the resources, tools and methodologies that feature in the proposal suitable for this project?
If there are any gaps or weak points, where are they?

1.3.

Do the various stakeholders involved have the capacity to implement the proposal?
Have training sessions been considered?

1.4.

Are the project ACTIVITIES (the efforts or actions which use resources to generate specific outputs) clear and understandable?

1.5.

Are the OUTPUTS (the direct products and services that are generated and/or facilitated by the project) clearly defined?
Are there defined indicators to account for the achievement milestones?

1.6.

Does the project, in its current form, predict that its OUTPUTS will be taken advantage of and used appropriately?
Does it describe how the target group (beneficiaries) will use these outputs?

1.7.

Is there a logical consistency between the set of OUTPUTS and the short- and medium-term changes (OUTCOMES) that the project is expected to achieve?
To what extent is the achievement of the OUTCOMES related to the correct use of the OUTPUTS?

1.8.

As the project stands, can long-term changes (IMPACTS) at stakeholder level be expected as a consequence of the interventions realised during the project's implementation?

1.9.

Have INDICATORS been defined that can show whether or not OUTPUTS, OUTCOMES and IMPACTS have been achieved in the target area?
Is there a way of seeing if the target population is making good use of these indicators?

2.1.

How relevant is the proposal?
Has the target group prioritised the problem that the project seeks to solve?
Has the target group shown interest in the way that the project seeks to solve it?

2.2.

To what extent do the beneficiaries have a sense of ownership over the proposal?

2.3.

What level of motivation and commitment is there among the people directly or indirectly involved in the project, both during the execution of the project and after the funding comes to an end?

2.4.

To what extent are the populations that will benefit directly and indirectly from the project prioritised by the support/funding entities?

2.5.

Is the scope and/or coverage of the project in line with the demands of potential end users?
Does the proposal clearly define the number of households that will use the outputs and benefit from their outcomes?

2.6.

How will the grassroots or target population be organised?
Is there a history of collaboration?
Are there work procedures and minimum agreements that demonstrate adequate organisation?

3.1.

Are the local institutions and staff sufficiently prepared to coordinate the project execution and address any unexpected events and risks?

3.2.

Do the support entities have the right conditions to be able to offer the technical assistance and training required by the local stakeholders to make the project viable?

3.3.

Do the local support entities have a proven track record of carrying out this type of project and accompanying local stakeholders who run them?

3.4.

If training or technical assistance is required and the local support entity can NOT offer it, can this specific support be found in the area?
Has such support been foreseen, identified and priced?

4.1.

Have the key donors, partners and institutions demonstrated their commitment to the proposal?

4.2.

Are the resources promised by the support institution available?
Have assurances been made that the funding will arrive in a timely manner?

4.3.

Are there signed agreements between the target population and the institution that supports the project?

4.4.

Is there enough institutional support for the needs of the project and the target population?

Do local partners or other specific support agents need to be identified to make the project viable?

5.1.

Are the funds needed to carry out the activities readily and reliably available?

Are the other required materials readily available?

5.2.

Is the budget consistent with the activities that are going to be carried out to generate the project's outputs?

Is the requested amount appropriate for the scope of the call for proposals?

5.3.

Are the resources promised by the local partners available for the project?

5.4.

If the funding has to be repaid, is there a repayment schedule that is adapted to the repayment capacity of the target population?

6.1.

Will the project preserve or affect local/regional ecosystems?

Is the proposal environmentally sustainable?

6.2.

What measures have been taken to mitigate any negative outcomes on local ecosystems?

The following measures must be considered:

.....
.....
.....

6.3.

Are there any negative environmental outcomes that may impact the health and/or standards of living of the target population or others in the area?



WILD CARD



WILD CARD



WILD CARD



WILD CARD



WILD CARD



WILD CARD